DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 392 144 EA 027 373

AUTHOR Hoover, S. P.; Achilles, C. M.
TITLE The Problem Is Only Part of the Problem.
PUB DATE Mar 96
NOTE 16p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association of School Administrators (San Diego, CA, March 1996).
PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Adult Learning; Group Dynamics; *Instructional Effectiveness; *Instructional Improvement; Intermediate Grades; Interpersonal Relationship; *Learning Strategies; Middle Schools; *Problem Solving; Relevance (Education); Secondary Education; Teaching Methods
IDENTIFIERS *South Carolina

ABSTRACT

Problem-based learning (PBL) uses adult-learning theory to structure the instructional process around presentation of a problem. Learning events emulate real-life situations as closely as possible. This paper describes how two middle schools and one high school implemented a structured staff-development approach aimed at teaching teachers how to use PBL in their classrooms. Feedback from three groups of teachers indicated that students lacked the adequate social skills needed to process group work efficiently and effectively. Middle and high school teachers expressed amazement that students distrusted each other, would not share or willingly cooperate, and often displayed a "me or them" survival attitude. The teaching of people skills often took priority over problem-solving activities. PBL was, however, an effective vehicle for addressing varied learning styles. All teachers felt bound by the constraints of the school-day schedule, not having enough time for the extensive planning that PBL requires. One table is included. The appendix contains a form that elicited teacher feedback on PBL. (LMI)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED 392 144

The Problem Is Only Part

of the Problem

Paper at

American Association of School Administrators (AASA)

Conference Within A Convention

San Diego, CA

March 1996

S.P. Hoover, Principal

Woodmont High School, Piedmont, SC 29673

C.M. Achilles, Professor, Ed. Administration

Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI 48197

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

✓ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C. M. Achilles

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

EA 027373

The Problem is Only Part of the Problem [1]

Introduction and Conceptual Framework

The pioneering work of Edwin Bridges and the writings of Bridges and Hallinger (1992, 1995) regarding the applications of problem-based learning (PBL) in the preparation of school administrators are well known. PBL is enjoying a considerable recognition and some use by a few professors in administrator preparation programs. As the results of applying PBL in the teaching of administrators become known, the use of PBL is likely to spread, although probably slowly. One way to speed up the use of a new technique is to get it out of higher education. This paper discusses one use of PBL and its move from higher education to local schools.

The current wave of PBL interest began when medical educators found that practicing physicians remembered precious little of what they had been taught. There could be several explanations: a) the material they were taught (the curriculum) wasn't appropriate for practice, or b) the method of instruction was so poor that the people did not learn. The third option, a combination of both, is nearly unspeakable. So, medical educators immediately began to seek causes and to find cures. After some problem analysis, leaders at several medical schools, including the prestigious Harvard Medical School, began using a new approach which has come to be called PBL. This approach, in its simplest form, attempts to employ adult learning theory, to use a problem as the center of the instructional process, and to structure learning events around situations as "real life" as possible.

PBL as applied in adult learning is not just presenting to students a problem; there are some guidelines and some parameters. A problem situation is presented, as are some directions, guiding questions, and some resources to help the student in solving the problem. The student should expand on the resources. Learning objectives are clearly stated, and may relate both to the process of PBL and to the problem under consideration. The problem is so framed that product specifications give guidelines for the response that may be a written report, an oral presentation, or some other way to convey the solution to the problem. Criteria are provided for evaluating both the process of solving the problem and the solution that has been determined. All of this is wrapped into a realistic time frame. That is, the problem solver has constraints of time to deal with, just as the person would face in real life. Given these structures, and the interest provoked by the problem, the problem solver or problem-solving group will proceed to some conclusion that is then made public through the product specifications.

---

[1] Susan Hoover, Principal, Woodmont High School, Piedmont, SC 29673; C.M. Achilles, Professor, Education Administration, E.M.U., Ypsilanti, MI, 48197.

Usually people work as teams to get the recommended solution. Team roles include such positions as leader, recorder, facilitator, and group member.

As data are being collected and analyzed on use of PBL in higher education, people are beginning to see the utility and benefits of PBL in administrator preparation. This makes sense, particularly as PBL is fashioned on tenets of adult learning theory, and most people preparing for education administration are adults. Yet, the challenge of working on a problem, the thrill of seeking solutions to interesting conundrums, and the emerging interest in teamwork in schools has lead some people to ask about the application of PBL to improve schooling in high schools, or even in middle schools where very energetic students often function in team settings as part of the school structure. (See Parks and Worner's work, 1993.)

Since PBL is primarily a method of delivering instruction, it can be expanded to encompass many learning modalities, including most of Gardner's (1983) "multiple intelligences" within a PBL lesson activity. The current trend toward school restructuring provides considerable opportunity for creative and productive use of PBL, particularly as the following things are occurring:

1. Instructional days are being restructured into longer periods and even alternate-day scheduling, leaving teachers with long blocks of time for instruction.

2. Faculties are employing "school-to-work" initiatives that help youngsters plan for future employment. Activities sometimes rely on students working in teams, to produce products, and to model behaviors and skills; and

3. "Authentic assessment" ideas are requiring new kinds of student products or outputs that can become part of a portfolio or some other basis of student evaluation.

Can a PBL format give flexibility to encompass some of the new restructuring ideas while emphasizing active learning in classrooms? As with other new instructional modalities, this probably will not occur smoothly unless teachers have some opportunity to learn the skills in staff development activity and to practice without fear of failure. The staff development cannot be a "one-shot" effort but must incorporate ideas of adult learning: one element of staff development might well be a PBL activity to help teachers understand the problem, "What is PBL?" This structured staff development approach has been tried in middle and high schools in South Carolina as a basic way to teach teachers to use PBL in their classrooms.

Structure of Staff Development

Each of the staff development activities for teachers in the local schools began with dissemination of information about PBL as a way to generate awareness or to cause consciousness raising on the part of the target audience. Activities then moved to opportunities for observation and demonstration, with a question-and-answer session and a critique of the PBL process used to instruct teachers about PBL. These steps were accomplished though video processes, by role-play, and from other procedures whereby teachers reviewed PBL packets and critiqued them while learning about them. The final stage has been group support as teachers work with students to develop PBL activities and to determine if the application of PBL in their public school classrooms will improve student learning and deportment.

Prior to the workshops, teachers received materials that they should read to learn something about the background of PBL and some evaluations of PBL's efficacy in administrator preparation as well as in medicine. They also received information asking them to try to conceptualize the carry-over of PBL as an adult activity and to learning efforts in their own classrooms, grades 6-12. During the first few hours of the face-to-face in-service sessions, teachers viewed videotapes, reviewed PBL packets from other systems, and reviewed the PBL-structure packets designed by Parks and Worner (1993) for use in school systems. These packets became the focus of discussion and critique so that teachers could understand the elements of a PBL exercise and could understand how the resources and other key components fit together as a learning modality. As the last activity of the first day of PBL work, teams of teachers began to sketch out plans for PBL packets that they could develop for use in their own schools. The PBL packets had to relate to the school goals and objectives and to the curriculum of the particular grade level. Teachers were encouraged to find facts and other things that could be taught thoroughly and easily in the PBL format and to think about the inter-relationships of several themes so that the PBL activity could integrate disciplines such as social studies, science, language arts, mathematics. Teachers were encouraged to design product specifications that would allow youngsters a variety of ways to convey what they had learned, such as writing, speaking, video, audio, drawing, etc.

At the one high school in this study, one master teacher attended every session and as each day progressed, that teacher took over more and more of the presentation responsibility. In this way, we hoped that one teacher would become a "teacher trainer in residence" who could answer questions from other teachers, provide follow-up, or at least collect questions and contact the trainers for answers. In the middle schools, follow-up was provided by the trainers themselves who returned to the school district and worked with the teams of teachers approximately 12 weeks after the original two-day presentation of PBL. At this time the teacher teams had already completed a PBL with students or had designed outlines of their PBL activities, including some of the resources,

learning objectives, and product specifications. After discussing PBL progress, the trainers helped the teachers critique their own materials and asked them to return to school, complete the unfinished packets, and use them with at least one, or even better, with two or three groups of students.

Why It's Time

Secondary school curriculum in the 1990s is so broad that no amount of time management and effective planning of teachers can ensure that all content areas are taught inclusively. With knowledge multiplying every few years, and with hours in a day constant, what are teachers to do? Furthermore, educators are restructuring course offerings to include more career exploration to be part of the traditional curriculum; instructional periods to be reconfigured; and learning standards to demand more teaching emphasis on process, not just on content. National goals and curriculum, frameworks for learning, and higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) are prevalent terms that often expose "traditional" schools as stagnant teacher- and content-centered, isolated deadends to learning. Added to the frenzy of criticism of public schools and teachers, American education in general, and student apathy and disengagement in particular is the emergence of new standardized tests for academic assessment as well as for college admission. Multiple-choice questions are being replaced with application and demonstration assessment scenarios requiring students to show proof of their analytical abilities and knowledge mastery. Always guessing "C" is quickly becoming an obsolete option.

Even in the mid 1990s, "technology" is widely identified by some as the most sophisticated network of international knowledge accessibility and by others narrowly recognized as a complete classroom set--with all of the parts operable--of hand-held calculators. Complicating this nebulous dilemma is the dearth of "on-line" technology-trained teachers. For those who avidly believe that "technology" is itself a teaching method, PBL is probably a learning method too easily overstepped, overlooked, and underused. Like technology, PBL is a vehicle for transporting knowledge -- the container -- not the knowledge. PBL is a much needed teaching method not only in higher education but also in public schools because it supports, uses, and advances a restructured curriculum emphasizing HOTS, people skills, communication skills, technological resources, and learning applications. Teachers, textbooks, and technology work in harmony with students to uncover and apply the data of the learning problem.

The teacher's role is transferred from traditional "expert" to "colearner" or cicerone in a nonthreatening environment. Teachers employing PBL are allowed flexibility in curriculum content while meeting benchmark standards of achievement, chiefly by encouraging students to be more responsible for their own learning. Use of PBL helps educators shift the emphasis *from content* --

with book, paper, and pencil -- *to process* -- with thinking, questioning, and exploring and from teacher as lecturer to student as worker. Teachers promote metacognition as the goal, not rote memorization of facts. With new knowledge growth overwhelming schools' financial abilities to provide timely hands-on teaching tools and resources, PBL is a large umbrella to house different investigative methods to discover knowledge and truth. It isn't a "thing"; it is a process, a method.

One current buzz word in curriculum is "integration." Much like the teacher and students of one-room schoolhouses or even of elementary self-contained grade classes, today's teachers and students touch almost every subject in order to learn new material. *Thematic* presentation of material addresses integration. Teaching in content isolation is unacceptable if students are to apply knowledge in relevant ways that are useful to them. More and more, secondary teachers are not only returning to thematic content organization but also to team teaching these thematic approaches. Middle school educators have long been teaming advocates, and, fortunately, many high school educators are now employing school-within-a-school, (SWAS) teaming, and cooperative teaching and learning methods to make content more student-directed, thematic, and integrated. The PBL approach offers the benefits of both a classical and a pragmatic curriculum. For an education purist, PBL supports the philosophy of "learning for learning's sake" as students simultaneously discover the benefits of fine arts, sciences, humanities, and even vocational studies.

For the pragmatist, PBL has a core goal: to discover a truth, to answer a question, to devise a solution. In one PBL project, students addressed multiple curriculum benchmarks while exercising life skills of cooperation, compromise, and communication. This can be a significant accomplishment when neither the religious right nor the liberals object! While the debate rages on about the merits of pragmatic Tech Prep, School-to-Work, and applied academics, PBLers use traditional textbooks, cutting-edge technology, exploration, resources, and communication skills to make information relevant to the total education package.

South Carolina, as well as other states, is mandating curriculum restructuring to include more strenuous academic requirements and more career-based exploration and skills. Some educators argue that these two goals are different and address the needs of separate student audiences; however, by employing PBL as one teaching methodology, educators easily provide for the needs of both student types by reinforcing certain skills common in all successful people: 1) analytical thinking; 2) teamwork and group skills of collaboration and compromise; 3) varied communication methods; 4) inquiry; 5) application and demonstration of outcomes; and 6) questioning. Surely not only the college-bound student needs HOTS, analytical reasoning, and communication abilities for varied audiences. Surely, too, career-bound students are not the only ones who need to know how to apply knowledge to create or demonstrate a new

product or solution. As technology genres spawn in everyday life, all people -- mechanic to physicist -- need to develop more uses for isolated bits of information. PBL encourages this sharing of information in user-friendly, classical, and pragmatic educational philosophies and methods.

The PBL Players

Three South Carolina schools, Woodmont High School in The School District of Greenville County and Howard Middle and Brookdale Middle in Orangeburg School District 5, experimented in different PBL training and implementation. Woodmont, located in upstate SC, houses 880 students in a rural setting with the students primarily coming from lower-middle income brackets and difficult to motivate for academic success as evidenced by average and below average standardized scores. Both middle schools of about 700 students each are located in the center of the state and have primarily minority populations. Test-score achievement, while bordering in the average range, also needs improving. Nothing detracts from these schools' being "typical" of many in their populations, pleasantries, and problems.

Middle School Training and PBL Endeavors.

Each of the Orangeburg middle schools sent representative teams of seven teachers and the principal to a total of three days training. In summer 1995, two consecutive days of training concentrated on school profiles and achievement needs, current and desired levels of curriculum integration, the basic format training, and group PBL planning. The teams met additionally over the summer to finalize an early fall PBL of their choice. In November the teams assembled for the third training day to report progress, clarify processes, evaluate methods, and plan future PBL use in their settings.

Brookdale Middle School educators had planned two PBLs and had almost completed one, "Knowing Your State." The product students developed was a portfolio of local and state resources. The objective was to teach state geography as well as to expose students to state resources unfamiliar to many. Some students, living only two hours from the Atlantic Ocean, had never been to South Carolina's beautiful beaches, did not know anything about South Carolina's historic landmarks, and knew little about the state's industry, economics, government, and geographical layout.

Brookdale teachers responded favorably to their results. One teacher evaluated PBL as "a method which requires higher order thinking ... and ... provides the children with success." She commented that students were eager, often too loud in their enthusiasm. She said, "Achievement has gone up because

all of the students felt successful." Students used traditional resources: encyclopedias, atlases, reference books, etc. The major difference in approach in learning how to use these references was a unified academic purpose and application instead of isolated drill. Students understood the *why* and *what* of an atlas, for example, when searching for information for a particular purpose.

Howard Middle School educators intrigued their 6th, 7th, and 8th graders, the "Bruins," through an integrated language arts and social studies PBL entitled, "Howard's Expedition." This PBL encompassed three weeks' time and concentrated on library resource and research skills required in the middle school's curriculum. Complete with an artistically designed cover sheet, middle schoolers were hooked on the PBL cover sheet with its lead:

> *Today, Baby Bruins are forced to leave familiar grounds because of pollution and ecology problems. Students from Howard Middle were asked to search for a safer and healthier environment. You will want to keep a portfolio of your journey...*

Howard 8th grade students wrote of their PBL expedition:

> *I like this project because it is very interesting. It makes you go research many different things.*
>
> *"Howard's Expedition" is a unique and challenging project. You have to be creative. Research is very important.*

A Howard teacher wrote that the PBL was an "excellent motivation" for positive classroom behavior and student participation. Encouraged by these participants' opinions, Howard teachers began planning another PBL on South Carolina Native Americans.

High School Training and PBL Endeavors

Training at Woodmont commenced in spring 1995. Each day for three consecutive days one third of the entire faculty learned the basics of problem-based learning. On each of the days one teacher and the school's principal assisted the trainers in order to be on-site resources and liaisons for the teachers and trainers. The basic training included a summary history of PBL, group roles expectations, sample PBL exercises, family group processing and reporting, and large group recapping. Following this training, teachers began planning PLBs for the 9th grade SWAS with released time and summer stipends provided through professional development funds.

Woodmont High School is located between two tiny communities, Moonville and Possum Kingdom. Playing on the local color of the area's names, the teachers created the PBL "Beyond Possum Kingdom." All 9th graders (n=300) and teachers (n=10) in the SWAS planned imaginary family vacations across America to last three weeks. Students were allotted travel budgets, cars, and a series of typical vacation dilemmas and windfalls to incorporate into their 3500-mile journey. English, social studies, math, and science teachers set respective discipline requirements for families to enjoy (or to endure!). Mastery of letter writing, persuasive family communications, scientific journals, map reading, museums, national parks, recreational sights, menu planning, budgeting, -- even trip hygiene peculiarities (clothes washing for 4 people: whose job; what city; when; how much?) -- were part of academic goals and life skills considerations of this extensive two-month effort. Portfolios--the academic version of vacation slides -- group oral reports, personal travel journals, and other group-tailored assessments evaluated the student work.

Teachers completed an individualized and group PBL feedback (see appendix) when "Beyond Possum Kingdom" concluded. One social studies teacher believed that the academic objectives particularly helped students understand the project's purpose. She commented that the project's scope often overwhelmed but motivated students. She offered the suggestion to break down due dates for smaller assignments within the PBL format. She also wrote:

> *PBL is an interesting approach to teaching. Students learned without knowing they learned. This approach is difficult to plan but with the team we had, ideas flowed freely, and much was accomplished in a relatively short amount of time.*

But a math teacher met with obstacles. She said participation was minimal because family members were not grouped together in math classes and that "students wanted to work alone." However, she wrote, "Achievement went up.... pre- and post-tests covering the objectives showed a significant increase was made."

The uses of PBL touched South Carolina's extensive efforts to support Tech Prep and School-To-Work curricula. The same math teacher saw PBL as a support to other curriculum programs. She commented:

> *As a teacher of "Math and Science in the Work Place,"...PBL is an effective instructional approach because it is used in industry; i.e., team approach to finding a solution using resources.*

A common response dealt with students' cooperative natures -- or lack of them -- as one teacher said:

> *My biggest problem had to do with personality conflicts...communication problems.... However, some groups worked like a finely oiled machine; they shared...research and responsibilities. Those students who ran into difficulties learned a valuable lesson about the nature of human relationships.*

He also added that he felt confident teaching PBL and that he plans to develop other integrated projects. He summarized many teachers' responses with:

> *Yes, it can be fun because as I taught this PBL, I started feeling more like a coach that a teacher. I think this is good.*

What We Learned

Despite the training approach used -- one day or three -- or the audience type -- middle or high school teachers -- remarkably similar conclusions, teacher frustrations, student successes and failures, and project benefits emerged. Inherent in them were the influences of teacher receptivity to change, personal and school interest in nontraditional approaches, and commitment to individual and team responsibilities. The PBL for the teachers was learning *how* to plan, navigate, and negotiate a PBL--complete with all of the teamwork obstacles their students encountered. A summary of these teacher and trainer conclusions is in Table 1.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The overwhelming chorus from the three groups of teachers was that students lacked adequate social skills needed to process group work efficiently and effectively. Middle and high school teachers all were amazed that students were so distrustful of each other, wouldn't "share" or willingly cooperate, and often displayed a "me or them" attitude of survival. Getting to the heart of problem-solving took a back seat to people skills. In a nutshell, -- *people, not programs* -- often had to be the priority teaching emphasis.

Table 1. Positive and negative comments after one use of PBL:

+	-
• PBL format incorporated "fun" learning activities with more " serious" basic skills foundation.	• Students didn't know group behavior skills or etiquette.
• The professionals enjoyed exciting "teacher-teaching" experiences: integrated curriculum, new knowledge.	• Girls responded more positively than boys to most group activities or team requirements.
• Varied assessments procedures were available, using all types of communication and thinking skills.	• Students' thinking process and work skills were unrefined, resulting in their frustration.
• Students had opportunities to know each other, learn to trust and to depend on each other.	• Format required extensive teacher team-planning, consistent monitoring and adjusting, and new teaching methods.
• Students learned more about responsibility and work ethics.	• Teachers did not know that their "Traditional" secondary university programs prepared them for professional teamwork skills, integrating sophisticated curriculum, or employing authentic assessment procedures.
• Students took pride in their PBL work products: trip itineraries, reports.	• Students, parents, and other teachers didn't always understand why the "old" approaches of isolated instruction needed to change: the " old" was comfortable.
• School and district administrators were encouraging and supportive of expanded teaching methods and needed staff development.	• PBLs can drag out too long, be too ambitious, and can bore students and teachers with endless details and logistical dilemmas. Keep it simple and short (KISS), preferably to 2 weeks and with only a few teachers involved
• Achievement improved because students usually participated more in learning activities.	• Objectives and timelines needed more clarification than first believed; students were often overwhelmed.
• PBL motivated most students to participate, curtailed disruptive behavior, engaged students in learning.	• More upfront time is crucial to ready supplies, to bond with group, to practice skills, etc., *before* PBL begins.
• Life skills were emphasized: responsibility, teamwork, communication, respect.	
• PBL was a painless way to learn.	
• Department improves: general classroom behavior and social skills awareness.	

These teachers emphasized in interviews that new PBLers needed schooling in social skills related to group processing, active listening, taking turns, using good manners, and even demonstrating appropriate body language. Ironically, the teachers had a microcosmic insight into the "X Generation" when groups of four adolescents could often not even reach a calm consensus on determining a trip destination; "control" (power) was a major issue with the students. The middle school teachers also said that boys more than girls emotionally and academically, withdrew in group situations. Girls tended to participate more readily in the PBLs. The leaders surmised that PBL activities demanded more talking, more creative responses -- more social engagement in an "academic clique" environment. The girls tended to dominate these areas.

PBL was an effective vehicle for addressing varied learning styles. All projects required products resulting from research, communication skills, and applied knowledge. All required written and oral presentations as well as some form of kinesthetic involvement: drawing, assembling, acting, etc. Instructional pacing, student learning, and assessment procedures were different for all projects, and could also vary within the individual PBL group activities. Student groups had some autonomy over these decisions; teachers were not sole controllers.

All teachers felt bound by the constraints of a school-day schedule. PBL requires extensive planning, and adequate time could not be found in the average day for orchestrating a PBL. Teacher teams did the majority of their preparation after school, nights, weekends, and summers. All agreed they were exhausted -- but invigorated -- by the PBL experience. In regards to planning, they also concluded:

1. Keep PLBs short--two weeks or less, at first, until format is perfected.

2. Plan in "off' times--not during a packed school year; implement and revise during the year.

3. Process, review, and brainstorm constantly. Keep a log of "do's and don'ts" of each PBL; teams forget over a year what to keep or throw out about activities.

4. Teachers need to work on group processing and team skills just as students do! All of these teachers appeared to work harmoniously; however, all agreed that PBL teacher "families" would end in "divorce" if prenuptial agreements weren't in place to keep the decision-making process running smoothly.

5. Regardless of the outcomes, do another PBL soon. The middle school teachers decided to enlarge their PBL activity; they had worked with a

reasonably contained problem, a short time frame, and a specifically targeted audience. The high school teachers concluded to divide into subgroups to implement new PBLs with smaller topics, fewer students, and a shorter time frame. For example, an English and a science teacher began a PBL emphasizing scientific exploration, research, and technical writing with approximately 60 students...not 300 supervised by 10 teachers!

Implications for Practitioners and Professors

School-to-work, applied academics, career exploration, Back-to-Basics, Higher-Order-Thinking-Skills...whatever the buzz word, catch phrase, or current politically correct jargon, practitioners are searching for ways to teach more and better with less, to often unmotivated students. The traditional lecture and work-sheet approach in an isolated teacher-down power hierarchy has not produced an abundance of problem solvers or even masters of basic skills. Something has to give, but it shouldn't be the people or their spirit. Then, what? Methodology has to change. PBL isn't the sole answer, but it permits teaching and learning flexibility, encourages the dying arts of communication and mutual respect, and showcases students' talents. Simultaneously, it takes the components of each academic discipline and blends them into a new set of knowledge. The product is greater than the sum of the parts.

High school teachers may have difficulty in integrating traditional curricula because of the complexities of various disciplines and professional "stuck-in-a-rut" attitudes. Middle and high schools teachers discussed here wanted to explore, to learn new methods; however, they experienced frustration, mainly because of the "system's" limitations. Schools are not always "learner friendly" if the learners do not learn as educators traditionally demand. In reality, though, the tools of our trade are at our disposal if we know how to use them. Teacher guides do not incorporate curriculum objectives; teachers must. Planning doesn't just occur; teachers must do it. Administrators can't just give isolated days and days of school time for staff development; they must make staff development happen within the hub of the school. These professionals were *willing to change.*

University teacher preparation programs might now review traditional methodology courses to incorporate them into core academics: Learn to teach science while you study science; learn to teach group skills by being a member of a learning group. Secondary teachers may need more exposure to learning theories and methodologies through a humanities core. Methods classes as well as directed teaching experiences may need more emphasis on developing instructional and assessment approaches. Finally, more attention to assessing

student's learning styles and viewing problem-solving as a needed generic academic skill may be missing elements in teacher preparation that would skyrocket an approach like PBL as the key to curriculum integration.

With the push for curriculum "relevancy," one teacher summarized that PBL prepares students for lifelong skills. Are life skills more relevant than curriculum? Can life skills and curriculum be combined within an academic setting? People have always needed to know times tables, to write and read, to know how to get by in the world. Relevant thinking processes to match the emerging questions of school, work, and life in general might be more of an appropriate emphasis than the nebulous concept of "curriculum" without relevance. As one Woodmont teacher so aptly wrote of PBL:

> *It would be neat to have the whole curriculum planned into thematic unit that were problem-based That would bring reality (life) and fantasy (school) closer together for the student. Relevance is such an important factor in achieving student motivation. I suppose that if I were to seek additional training it would be in seeking activities designed to strengthen student participation in the cooperative roles.*

References

Bridges, E.M. & Hallinger, P. (1992). Problem-based Learning for Administrators. Eugene, OR: ERIC-CEM, U. of Oregon

Bridges, E.M. & Hallinger, P. (1995). Implementing Problem-based Learning in Leadership Development. Eugene, OR: ERIC-CEM, U. of Oregon

Gardner, H. (1983). Frames of Mind. New York: Basic Books

Parks, D. and Worner, W. (1993). Selected PBL Learning Projects, such as Creating a PBL Project in Educational Administration, etc. Mimeo packets. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute.

Appendix: PBL Feedback Summary.

We'd like your reactions to the "PBL Experience. "
Please be as detailed as possible.

I. How many PBL "events" or sessions have you:

A. Planned? Which ones?
B. Taught? Which ones?
C. Completed and evaluated? Which ones?

II. For the PBL "events," did you include:

A. "Specifications" or product outcome (What you want)? What?
B. Learning objectives? . Addressing what main topics?
C. Time frame (due date)? Duration? (2 days...2 weeks...)
D. Sample resources or directions where to locate resources? What Type?

III. What has been the student response to PBL in terms of:

A. Behavior
   1. Eagerness (motivation)
   2. Participation
   3. Discipline

B. Outcome or Work
   1. Were assignments done punctually and completely?
   2. Did each person contribute?
   3. Did you encounter problems teaching and employing the cooperative roles (leader, facilitator, recorder, group member) ?

IV. Has student achievement gone up, down, stayed the same? (Please describe)

V. What strategies have you used to evaluate student participation and/or student outcome (product) ?

VI. Do you need additional training in PBL? In what specific areas?

VII. Please comment on PBL as an instructional approach. Is it fun? Do the students like PBL? Do you like PBL as a strategy? What is the most difficult part of teaching PBL? Does a PBL take more planning than other methods? Is it worth the efforts--in terms of student and teacher satisfaction? Is PBL the "same old thing" or an innovative approach to relevant, integrated learning? Did your university train you effectively for PBL skills?